lease type a plus sign (+) inside this box 🗡 🕂

PTO/SB/21 (08-00)
Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031

			Application	09/78	86,235			
TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			Filing Date					
			First Named	Dian	Diane M. Gajewczyk			
			Group Art Unit					
,			Examiner Name					
Total Number of P	ages in This Submission	7	Attorney Docket Number	ber 1038-1129 MIS				
		ENCLOS	URES (check all that ap	oply)				
Fee Transmitta	al Form		ent Papers		After Allowance Communication to Group			
Fee Attached Crawing(s			Appeal Communication					
			g-related Papers	of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)				
After	Final	Petition			Proprietary Information			
			to Convert a nal Application	同	Status Letter			
			f Attorney, Revocation of Correspondence	X	Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):			
Express Abandonment Request			I Disclaimer		1. Petition to Revive an Unintentionally Abandoned Application			
Information Disclosure Statement			for Refund mber of CD(s)		2. Postcard			
Certified Cop		Remarks	TIDE OF CD(3)		RECEIVED			
Response to	Missing Parts/	Remarks			APR 0 7 2003			
Incomplete A	pplication onse to Missing Parts							
	r 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53				OFFICE OF PETITIO			
	SIGNATUR	RE OF APPLICA	ANT, ATTORNEY, OR AG	ENT				
Firm or Individual name	Michael I. Stewart (Reg. No. 24,973)							
Signature	link	n L						
Date April 2, 2003								
	-	CERTIFICA	ATE OF MAILING					
	ois correspondence is be			rice with suff	icient postage as first class mail in			
hereby certify that the invelope addressed	to: Commissioner for Par	tents, Washington,	D.C. 20231 on this date:					

PTO/SB/17 (1-03)
Approved for use through 04/30/2003. OMB 0651-0032
Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

SUBTOTAL (3)

\$1,300.00

Fork Reduction A 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. TOXXICANITTAL Complete if Known

I LEE I KANOMII I F									
	`-	Application Number		per	09/786,235 HEU	EIVED			
for FY 2003	1	Filing Date				APR 0 7 2003			
Effective 01/01/2003. Patent fees are subject to annual revi	sion.	First Named Inventor			ntor	Diane M. Gajewczyk			
Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1	.27	Examiner Name				OFFICE OF PETITIONS			
·		Group Art Unit				GITTOL OF L			
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) \$1,3	00.00	Attorney Docket No.			No.	1038-1129 MIS			
METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)		FEE CALCULATION (continued)							
Check Credit card Money Other None	3. /	3. ADDITIONAL FEES							
Deposit Account:		Entity		LEntity	_				
Deposit Country	Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Fee (\$)		Fee Description	Fee Paid		
Account Number	105	٠.,	2051		Surch	narge - late filing fee or oath			
Deposit Account	105	2 50	2052	25	Surch sheet	narge - late provisional filing fee or cover			
Name	105	3 130	1053	130	Non -	English specification			
The Commissioner is authorized to: (check all that apply)	181	2 2,520	1812	2,520	For fill	ling a request for ex parte reexamination			
Charge fee(s) indicated below Credit any overpayments	180	4 920*	1804	920*	Reque	esting publication of SIR prior to Examin	er		
Charge any additional fee(s) during the pendency of this application	180	5 1,840*	1805	1,840*	Reque	esting publication of SIR after Examiner			
Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee to the above-identified deposit account.	125	1 110	2251	55		ision for reply within first month			
FEE CALCULATION	125	2 410	2252	205	Exten	sion for reply within second month			
1. BASIC FILING FEE	125	3 930	2253	465	Exten	ision for reply within third month			
Large Entity Small Entity	125	4 1,450	2254	725	Exten	sion for reply within fourth month			
Fee Fee Fee Fee Description Code (\$) Code (\$) Fee Paid	125	5 1,970	2255	985	Exten	sion for reply within fifth month			
1001 750 2001 375 Utility filing fee	140	1 320	2401	160	Notice	e of Appeal			
1002 330 2002 165 Design filing	140	2 320	2402	160	Filing	a brief in support of an appeal			
1003 520 2003 260 Plant filing fee	140	3 280	2403		•	est for oral hearing			
1004 750 2004 375 Reissue filing] 145	1,510	1451			on to institute a public use proceeding			
1005 160 2005 80 Provisional filing fee] 145	2 110	2452	55	Petitio	on to revive - unavoidable			

1003 320	2003	200	riant ming ice						
1004 750	2004	375	Reissue filing	1451	1,510	1451	1,510	Petition to institute a public use proceeding	
1005 160	2005	80	Provisional filing fee	1452	110	2452	55	Petition to revive - unavoidable	
·	1	SU	BTOTAL (1) (\$)	1453	1,300	2453	650	Petition to revive - unintentional	1,300.00
				1501	1,300	2501	650	Utility issue fee (or reissue)	
2. EXTRA	CLAIN	1 FE	ES FOR UTILITY AND Fee from	1502	470	2502	235	Design issue fee	
	Ex	tra C	laims below Fee Paid	1503	630	2503	315	Plant issue fee	
Total Claims Independent	=	-20**		1460	130	1460	130	Petitions to the Commissioner	
Claims		- 3**		1807	50	1807	50	Processing fee under 37 CFR § 1.17(q)	
Multiple Dependent = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =		1806	180	1806	180	Submission of Information Disclosure Statement			
Fee Fee Code (\$)			Fee Description	8021	40	8021	40	Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)	
1202 18	2202	9	Claims in excess of 20	1809	750	2809	375	Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR § 1.129(a))	
1201 84	2201	42	Independent claims in excess of 3						
1203 280	2203 1	40	Multiple dependent claim, if not paid	1810	750	2810	375	For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR § 1.129(b))	
1204 84	2204	42	** Reissue independent claims	1801	750	2801	375	Request for Continued Examination (RCE)	
1205 -18	2205	9	over original patent ** Reissue claims in excess of 20	1802	900	1802	900	Request for expedited examination of a design application	

SUBMITTED BY				Complete (i	f applicable)
Name (Print/Type)	Michael I. Stewart	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	24973	Telephone	(416) 595-1155
Signature	halme <			Date	April 2, 2003

Other fee (specify)

*Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on

\$0.00

and over original patent

SUBTOTAL (2)

**or number previously paid, if greater; For Reissues, see above

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.17 and 1.27. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

APR 0 3 2003

THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant

Diane M. Gajewczyk et al.

Appl'n. No.

09/786,235

I.A. Filing Date:

September 3, 1999

Title

TREATMENT OF CERVICAL CANCER

Grp./A.U.

Examiner

Docket No.

1038-1129 MIS:jb

Date

April 2, 2003

PECENED

1 . APR 2007

International Division

BY COURIER

The Assistant Commissioner for Patents Box PCT, Washington, D.C. 20231, U.S.A.

Attention: The PCT Legal Office

RECEIVED
APR 0 7 2003
OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Sir:

PETITION TO REVIVE AN UNINTENTIONALLY ABANDONED APPLICATION Under 37 CFR 1.137(b)

Petition is hereby made under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) to revive this application. The application became abandoned for failure to file a timely complete response to a Notice of Defective Response in timely manner. (see enclosed copy of a Decision dated February 4, 2003 in a Petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a)).

In support of this Petition, the Petition fee is enclosed. In addition, it is hereby stated, under the signature of the undersigned, that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of this Petition was unintentional.

It is noted that the Petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a), submitted May 7, 2003, constituted the required reply to the Notice of Defective Response dated February 20, 2003.

04/04/2003 CNGUYEN 00000103 09786235

01 FC:1453

1300.00 OP

Under the circumstances, it is submitted that the application should be revived to permit the Revived Petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) to be considered.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael I. Stewart

Reg. No. 24,973

Toronto, Ontario, Canada, (416) 595-1155 FAX No. (416) 595-1163 United States Patent and Trademario Optio

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENT UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE WASHINGTON, D.C. 2023 www.usoto.ou

Michael I. Stewart
SIM & McBURNEY
6th Floor, 330 University Avenue
Toronto, Ontario
Canada M5G 1R7

RECEIVED

FEB. 1.4

SIM & MCBURNEY

SIM, HUGHES, ASHTON & MCKAY

In re Application of GAJEWCZYK, Diana M. et al U.S. Application No.: 09/786,235 PCT No.: PCT/CA00/00807

Int. Filing Date: 03 September 1999 Priority Date: 04 September 1998 Attorney Docket No.: 1038-1129 MIS:jb

For: TREATMENT OF CERVICAL CANCER

DECISION

RECEIVED

APR 0 7 2003

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

This is a decision on the papers submitted by applicants' in the above-captioned

BACKGROUND

On 02 March 2001, applicants filed a transmittal letter for entry into the national stage in the United States under 35 U.S.C. 371 which was accompanied by, *inter alia*,

On 02 April 2001, the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) mailed a Notification of Missing Requirements under 35 U.S.C. 371 and Notification of Defective Oath of Declaration (Form PCT/DO/EO/917) indicating that the declaration was not executed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.66 or 37 CFR 1.68. Applicants were given two months to respond with extensions of time available pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a). It was also noted that failure to submit an oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.497(a) and (b) within the time period set would result in abandonment of

On 29 June 2001, applicants submitted various documents including a declaration signed by seven of the eight co-inventors.

On 20 February 2002, the DO/EO/US mailed a Notification of Defective Response (Form PCT/DO/EO/916) indicating that the declaration submitted was not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.497(a) and (b) because it was not properly executed. Applicants were given a time limit of one month. No extension of this time limit pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) was allowed.

On 20 March 2002, applicants submitted a document titled "Response to Notification of Defective Response" along with copies of documents previously

submitted on 29 June 2001.

On 07 May 2002, applicants submitted the instant petition which was accompanied by, *inter alia*, a "Declaration under 37 C.F.R. 1.47(a)" signed by Michael I. Stewart, a \$130.00 petition fee and extension of time request with a \$400.00 fee.

On 29 July 2002, applicants filed a substitute "Declaration under 37 C.F.R. 1.47(a)" signed by Michael I. Stewart due to an inadvertent error.

DISCUSSION

Applicants were given only one month to provide a proper response to the Notification of Defective Response (Form PCT/DO/EO/916) mailed 20 February 2002. (There was no time remaining in the Notification of Missing Requirements mailed 02 April 2001.)

A proper response would have been a declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.497(a) and (b), or an appropriate petition. Instead applicants submitted a letter stating that they were "preparing a Petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a)" and copies of documents previously submitted on 29 June 2001.

This is not considered to a bona fide response to the Form PCT/DO/EO/916.

On 07 May 2002, applicants submitted a petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) along with an extension of time request which would have been an appropriate reply to the Form PCT/DO/EO/916. Nevertheless, the time limit of the Form PCT/DO/EO/916 had expired and no extension of time was available.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons discussed above, the above-captioned application is hereby **ABANDONED** for failure to properly respond to the Form PCT/DO/EO/916 within the time period set for response.

Since the above-captioned application is abandoned, applicants' petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) will not be considered at this time. It is noted that applicants would have failed to meet all the requirements outlined in section 409.03(d) of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (8th Ed.) if the petition had been considered.

If reconsideration on the merits of this decision is desired, a proper response must be filed within **TWO (2) MONTHS** from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Applicants may wish to revive the above-captioned application by providing a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a), or 37 CFR 1.137(b) along with the appropriate fee.

Please direct further correspondence with respect to this matter to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Box PCT, Washington, D.C. 20231, and address the contents of the letter to the attention of the PCT Legal Office.

dames Thomson Attorney Advisor PCT Legal Office

Tel.: (703) 308-6457